INTRODUCTION

A BRIEF HISTORY OF NUCLEAR “HOTLINES”

In the aftermath of the Cuban Missile Crisis, the United States and the Soviet Union agreed to establish the world’s first bilateral nuclear “hotline.” The concept was simple: a reliable, direct connection between governments that could quickly transmit clear, trustworthy messages in times of tension. The idea of such a nuclear crisis communications link predated the 1962 crisis, and had been advocated for by Parade editor Jess Gorkin, seminal nuclear strategist Thomas Schelling, and others who were worried about nuclear escalation in the early years of the Cold War.1

The Direct Communication Line (DCL) or MOLINK was established in June 1963 with the signing of a memorandum of understanding between Washington and Moscow.2 Similar hotlines connecting to Moscow were soon established in Paris and London.

As the number of nuclear-armed states increased from the 1960s on, so too did the number of hotline connections established between them. The latter part of the 20th century saw the addition of the first India-Pakistan hotline, the first U.S.-China hotline, and the first China-Russia hotline. In the early 21st century, the hotline ecosystem continued to expand, including the first India-China hotline.

Notably, the 21st century also saw the establishment of a hotline between the US and India, a rare dedicated nuclear hotline between allies. Theater-specific “deescalation lines” between states also continue to be a feature of modern conflict. The U.S.-Russia deescalation line in Syria allowed for on-the-ground coordination between the two nuclear powers as troops operated in contested environments. During the early part of the 2022 Russo-Ukrainian war, the U.S. and Russia once again agreed to establish a dedicated deescalation line.3 The early 21st century also featured the development of a network of hotline links between North Korea and South Korea, which have continued to showcase the value of hotlines for risk reduction across many levels of conflict.4

This atlas is part of IST’s work efforts to reinvigorate broader nuclear crisis control through research and development aimed at identifying and solving practical nuclear risk reduction gaps. This version is focused on the unique nature of hotlines between states with nuclear weapons. A later atlas will examine hotlines between states with nuclear weapons and those without, a dataset which has its own points of interest.


Note that this connection is also not featured in this version of the Atlas since South Korea is not a nuclear-armed state.
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The transatlantic and European links are very much rooted in the Cold War experience, with most connections set up to help avoid the nightmare scenario of a full-scale nuclear exchange between the United States and Russia.

Washington–Moscow Direct Communications Link (DCL) / MOLINK
This foundational hotline was established in 1963 after the need for an instantaneous link between Moscow and Washington was made apparent during the Cuban Missile Crisis. It was updated in 1978, 1986, and 2007 and now operates on a secure form of email carried via satellite and fiber optics.

U.S.-Russia Cyber; Space Hotlines
The cyber hotline between the U.S. and Russia was established to allow the two countries to speak on critical cyber issues. President Obama famously used it in the lead up to the 2016 presidential election to warn Moscow from interfering. The space hotline was established during the Cold War as a channel for coordination on space debris, accidents, and other events and risks.

Ukraine Deconfliction Line
In the early weeks of the war in Ukraine, the U.S. and Russia agreed to set up a tactical military deconfliction communications line, likely inspired by the success of a similar line used during the civil war in Syria, now discontinued.

U.S.-U.K. Direct Connections
Given their over 75 years of military cooperation, it is very likely that the U.S. and the U.K. have hotlines or other direct abilities to communicate with each other. Sources in the U.K. have indicated that such links exist, and may involve specifically nuclear-focused hotlines.

U.K.-Russia; France-Russia Direct Communications Links
The U.K. and France each signed dedicated MOLINK-style hotline agreements with the Soviet Union in the aftermath of the Cuban Missile Crisis.

NATO-Russia Military Hotline
Initially set up during the Cold War, emergency communications between Russia and NATO were reinvigorated in 2015 as both sides were increasingly concerned about military confrontation. As it stands today per what is available in the public domain, there is a hotline between the Russian Chief of General Staff and NATO’s Supreme Allied Commander Europe.
Crisis communications links across Asia and the Pacific connect some of the largest countries on Earth, and provide important channels for key nuclear weapons states. Of note are the various levels of connection between the U.S. and China, and the ally-to-ally link between Washington and New Delhi.

**Head of State Hotline**
President Clinton and General Secretary Jiang agreed to establish a hotline in 1997, but it has seemingly not been used. Notably, it was not used when NATO forces accidentally bombed the Chinese Embassy in Belgrade in 1999.8

**U.S.-China Cyber; Space Hotlines**
Washington and Beijing have a space hotline to speak on space launches, debris, and other risks, as well as a cyber hotline to communicate critical cyber issues.9, 10

**Defense Telephone Link; Audio/Video Links**
The Pentagon and the Chinese Ministry of Defense agreed to establish a crisis link, but the hotline has been rarely used. Secretary of Defense Austin has expressed frustrations with being unable to contact his Chinese counterparts.11 In 2014, Presidents Obama and Xi agreed to a memorandum of understanding on the rules of behavior in maritime and aerial encounters. In a following annex to that agreement, the two countries said they would establish a “military crisis notification mechanism” with audio and video connections.12

**China-Russia Defense Ministry Hotline**
The hotline links the Chinese and Russian Defense Ministries, and has reportedly been used14

**India-U.S. Hotline**
The India-U.S. hotline agreement in 2015 marked an era of deepening ties between both countries, and is one of few dedicated ally-to-ally hotline links. It connects both the top executives of each country and their National Security Advisors.15
While crisis communications links between states in South Asia are some of the hardest to identify and verify, they are arguably the world’s most necessary ones. Though much of the information here is India-Pakistan-centric, the connections between India and China are also critical.

**Head of Militaries; LOC Sector Commanders Hotlines**
This hotline has historically been considered unreliable and “noisy,” but recent reporting suggests that it was upgraded to a more modern fiber-optic line in an effort to make it more usable. The current status of the LOC Sector Commanders hotline is unknown.16

**Prime Ministers Hotline**
The hotline goes through the Directors General of Military Operation (DGMO) of both countries and has been shut on and off several times. Its current status is unclear.17

**Foreign Ministry Link**
This hotline is fiber-optic based and is “specifically for the purpose of prompt exchange of information in the event of a nuclear incident or to clarify any misunderstandings particularly during crises.” It is assumed by experts to be obsolete or no longer in use.18

**Terrorism Hotline**
The hotline was agreed to after the 2008 Lashkar-e-Taiba terror attack in Mumbai, but its implementation was stalled and it remains unclear whether this hotline ever came online, much less whether it has been used.19

**Head of State; Foreign Ministry; Army Hotlines**
These hotlines connect the Prime Minister of India to the President of China as well as each government’s Foreign Ministries. Another dedicated hotline links the Indian Army in North Sikkim and the People’s Liberation Army in Tibet. The Indian DGMO and Chinese Western Theatre Command agreed to an additional hotline in 2020 but it is unclear whether that hotline was ever established 20, 21, 22, 23

**Syria Deconfliction Line**
A dedicated Israel-Russia line was set up during the Syrian Civil war to improve communication about incoming airstrikes and deescalate any incidents. However, communication has now “effectively ceased.”24
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